Math205 Handout 2: Methods of Proof

We shall show how to go about proving the statement "if an odd integer is multiplied by -1 and that new integer is then added to 26 the result is odd". We first identify the statements p(x) and q(x) in the above statement if it is $p(x) \to q(x)$ and deduce that:

 $p(x) :\equiv \text{"$x$ is odd"} \equiv \text{"$x = 2j+1$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}'$}$ $q(x) :\equiv \text{"$26-x$ is odd"} \equiv \text{"$26-x = 2k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}"$}$

We are usually either told to use one of the methods below, or we can choose one:

• **Direct:** We suppose that p(x) is true and using what that tells us about x we then apply that to the subject of q(x) in order to try to show that it is true when p(x) is.

So if p(x) is true then x = 2j + 1, and q(x) is about 26 - x, and putting these two things together

$$26 - x = 26 - (2j + 1) = 26 - 2j - 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 26 - 2j - 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 1 + 24 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 1 = 25 - 2j = 25 + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2 \times (12 - j) + 2 \times (-j) = 2$$

Thus we have our statement in the form of q(x) where k = 12 - j, and it just remains to establish that this k is an integer. Since j is, multiplying by -1 means -j is still an integer, and then subtracting this from 12, another integer, means that k is an integer as required.

• Contrapositive: We can alternatively suppose that q(x) is false and using what that tells us about x we then apply that to the subject of p(x) in order to try to show that it is false when p(x) is. We are thus proving $(\sim q(x)) \to (\sim p(x))$ which we know is logically equivalent to $p(x) \to q(x)$.

So if q(x) is false we use the fact that, for integers, not being odd is the same as being even, so "26 - x = 2m; $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ", and $\sim p(x)$ says that "x = 2n; $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ". Simplifying ($\sim q(x)$):

$$26 - x = 2m$$

$$x = 26 - 2m$$

$$= 2 \times (13 - m)$$

This equals 2n if we take n = 13 - m and so, again, since m is an integer, so is -m and adding 13 to this keeps it an integer, so n is an integer as required.

• Contradiction: We now suppose that p(x) is true and also that q(x) is false. We intend to get an impossible situation arising whence we can use the logical equivalence of $(p(x) \land (\sim q(x))) \leftrightarrow (\sim T_0)$ and $(p(x) \to q(x)) \leftrightarrow T_0$ to show that p(x) implies q(x) as required.

As before, if p(x) is true then x=2j+1, and $(\sim q(x))$ says that 26-x=2m. Combining these two statements to remove x we get:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 26 - (2j+1) & = & 2m \\ & 25 & = & 2j+2m \\ & = & 2(j+m) \\ \frac{25}{2} & = & j+m \end{array}$$

This statement is our desired contradiction since both j and m are integers and so their sum is an integer, but $\frac{25}{2}$ is certainly not an integer as it is 12.5 in decimal terms and no integer has to be written with a decimal point.

Proof by Induction

- Induction: Given a statement p(n) about an integer n we wish to show it is true for all integer values of n at least a and we proceed as follows:
 - Initial Case: Show that p(a) is true (optionally also test p(a+1) and p(a+2) to see how the induction will proceed).
 - Inductive Case: Assume p(k) is true for some value of $k \ge a$. State one side of p(k+1) in terms of the corresponding side of p(k) and use the assumptions to deduce that the other side of p(k+1) is related in the same way as p(n) was.

For example:
$$p(n) :\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$
,

- *Initial Case*: The first possible value of n is 1, so we consider $p(1) := \text{``}1^2 = 1 = \frac{1 \times (1+1) \times (2 \times 1+1)}{6} = 1$ " as required. Similarly, $p(2) := \text{``}1^2 + 2^2 = 5 = \frac{2 \times (2+1) \times (2 \times 2+1)}{6} = 5$ " and $p(3) := \text{``}1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 = 5 + 3^2 = 14 = \frac{3 \times (3+1) \times (2 \times 3+1)}{6} = 14$ ".
- Inductive Case: Assume $p(k) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$. Now the left hand side (LHS) of p(k+1) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} i^2\right) + (k+1)^2 = LHS(p(k)) + (k+1)^2.$$

But using the assumption (the inductive hypothesis), we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2$$

$$= (k+1)\frac{k(2k+1) + 6(k+1)}{6}$$

$$= (k+1)\frac{(2k^2 + 7k + 6)}{6}$$

$$= (k+1)\frac{(2k+3)(k+2)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)((k+1) + 1)(2(k+1) + 1)}{6}$$

But this is exactly the statement p(k+1) that we wished to establish!

• Basic formulae in Sigma Notation:

$$1 + \ldots + n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \qquad b + \ldots + b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b = nb \qquad 1 + x + \ldots + x^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{i} = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$