

Introduction to Folklore II: Folk Genres and Analysis

2010-03-23 Oring's "Documenting Folklore: The Annotation"  
and Paper / Presentation Q&A

**FOLK AND FINA 113**

---

**WHAT DID *YOU* THINK?**

---

# HIS POINT

---

- ✘ Serves as the conclusion for both books
- ✘ Reaffirms that each performance is a unique occurrence,
  - + “Everything is utterly singular and new”
- ✘ Reaffirms that one can legitimately make comparisons between performances
  - + “Were it not for our categorizing and classifying propensities, we would be hopelessly overpowered by the relentless novelty of the world”

# RELATIONS

---

- ✘ Categories make explicit the recognition of relations between these unique events
  - + Same, similar, or analogous *content*
  - + Same, similar, or analogous *form*
  - + Same, similar, or analogous *function*
  - + Same, similar, or analogous *group*
  - + Same, similar, or analogous *context*
    - ✘ *Etc.*
- ✘ Groups, genres, types are all manners in which we impose relations of similarity on unique performances

# REMEMBER

---

- ✘ Early folklorists assumed a strict genetic relationship between occurrences
- ✘ The folk were a “faulty conduit”: variations were a consequence of mis-performance (or, charitably, necessary but unfortunate adaptations to new circumstances)
- ✘ The exercise of comparing versions was ultimately aimed at reconstructing “the original” (the Ur-form) that is related to and the basis for all

# BUT NOW

---

- ✘ “The folk” understood not as faulty conduits but as deliberate re-interpreters who create new performances based in part on
  - + an understanding of the context of a specific performance,
  - + the understood shared repertoire of the group, and
  - + an understanding of the group’s sense of aesthetics
- + Relationships are historical, not genetic; intentional, not necessary; emic as much as they are etic



# EMIC AND ETIC

---

- ✘ Etic: the technical terms used to describe something; theoretical categories
- ✘ Emic: the “insider” terms used to describe something; vernacular categories
- ✘ (Much of our folklore theory was built on thinking European emic terms were in fact etic: that’s why we waffle over “what is a legend? questions?)



# THREE BENEFITS TO ANNOTATION

---

- ✘ A buffer against parochialism
  - + You may be distinct, but you ain't all that unique
- ✘ Identifying socio-cultural change
  - + Provides the data for seeing how forms are adapted to new circumstances, ideologies, contexts
- ✘ Quantitative data for suggesting the sociological. psychological importance of themes and values
  - + (The rest of the chapter is interesting, but not to necessary to talk about)

**QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ASSIGNMENTS /  
PRESENTATIONS?**

# LAST (!) QUESTION OF THE WEEK

---

- ✘ Tell me the last joke you heard, and provide a brief “documentation” (where, when, why, and by whom was it performed, what does the joke reference) and “annotation” (how does it relate to other jokes you know, etc.) for it.

# GO AWAY FROM THIS PLACE

---

- ✘ Next week: PRESENTATIONS
- ✘ Do I have any volunteers for Monday? Ideally, I would like to see at least 10, hopefully closer to 15.
- ✘ Remember, you can email them (or links to them), so shyness need not be a factor